

Gauge equivalence and conserved quantities for Lagrangian systems on Lie algebroids

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 265209

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/26/265209>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.154

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 07:55

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Gauge equivalence and conserved quantities for Lagrangian systems on Lie algebroids

José F Cariñena¹ and Miguel Rodríguez-Olmos²

¹ Departamento de Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, 5009 Zaragoza, Spain

² School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

E-mail: jfc@unizar.es and miguel.rodriguez.olmos@manchester.ac.uk

Received 12 March 2009, in final form 11 May 2009

Published 11 June 2009

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/265209

Abstract

We develop a theory of gauge and dynamical equivalence for Lagrangian systems on Lie algebroids, also studying its relationship with Nöther and non-Nöther conserved quantities.

PACS numbers: 45.20.Jj, 11.10.Ef

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37J15, 70H33, 70S05

1. Introduction

It has been proven during recent years that Lie algebroids [1, 2] provide a very general framework for dealing with different problems in mechanics [3, 4] and control theory [5], including reduction of mechanical systems with symmetries [6, 7]. The concept of Lie algebroids is a generalization of both a Lie algebra and a tangent bundle structure, these being the simplest examples of Lie algebroids. Moreover, the Lie algebroid structure is well adapted to variational calculus for constrained systems [8] and the geometric treatment of the concept of quasi-coordinates finds its natural place in Lie algebroid framework [9]. The usefulness of the Lie algebroid approach for dealing with the non-holonomic constrained system is also beyond doubt [10].

The geometric theory of Lagrangian formulation in Lie algebroids started in [4] and developed in [11, 12] without using the Legendre transformation (see, also, [13]), gives rise to the problem of existence and uniqueness of a Lagrangian inducing a previously fixed dynamics and it is the uniqueness property which is going to be analyzed in this paper.

The organization of the paper is as follows: in section 2 we give a concise survey of the theory of Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids, following [4, 11, 12, 14]. In section 3 we introduce several notions of equivalence of Lagrangian systems on Lie algebroids, obtaining a relationship among them in theorem 3.2. This generalizes the results obtained in [15] for standard Lagrangian systems. Section 4 contains the main results of the paper: theorem 4.1

gives sufficient conditions under which we can obtain a one-parameter family of Lagrangian functions gauge equivalent to a given one. This is related in theorem 4.2 to the existence of Nöther conserved quantities for the Lagrangian dynamics on Lie algebroids. Finally, in section 5 we study conserved quantities which are not of the Nöther type in the case that the Lagrangian dynamics is a locally Hamiltonian symmetry of two different 2-forms on the Lie algebroid.

2. Lie algebroids and Lagrangian mechanics

This section collects some basic results about the geometry of Lie algebroids and the formulation of Lagrangian mechanics on them. All the results here are standard and easy to find in the literature, so this section remains purely expository.

2.1. Lie algebroids

Recall [3, 4] that a Lie algebroid is a vector bundle $\tau^E : E \rightarrow M$, together with a Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]_E$ in the space of sections $\Gamma(\tau^E)$ and a vector bundle morphism (anchor map) $\rho^E : E \rightarrow TM$ satisfying the compatibility condition

$$[X, fY]_E = \rho^E(X)fY + f[X, Y]_E, \quad f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Extremal cases of Lie algebroids include the tangent bundle of M , $\tau_M : TM \rightarrow M$ with the usual Lie algebra structure on $\Gamma(\tau_{TM}) = \mathfrak{X}(M)$ given by the Lie bracket and $\rho^{TM} = \text{id}$, or a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , thought as a vector bundle over a point, for which the anchor is trivial and $\Gamma(\tau^\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ with its own Lie algebra data. Many other examples can be obtained from classic geometric structures, such as foliations and Poisson or Dirac geometry.

Morally, in the formulation of Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids the algebroid E replaces the tangent bundle to a manifold M as the space of positions and velocities for the dynamics. In the same way, sections of the exterior algebra $\Omega^\bullet(E)$ of the dual bundle $\tau^{E*} : E^* \rightarrow M$ play the role of ‘generalized’ differential forms. A differential calculus on a Lie algebroid can be built on a graded derivation of degree 1, $\mathbf{d}^E : \Omega^k(E) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(E)$, which takes the place of the usual exterior derivative in this context and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^E\theta(X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) &= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \rho^E(X_i)\theta(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j+i} \theta([X_i, X_j]_E, X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ and $\theta \in \Omega^k(E)$.

This exterior derivative reduces to the usual exterior derivative when $E = TM$. Its associated Lie derivative \mathbf{d}_X^E along $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_X^E f &= \rho^E(X)f, \\ \mathbf{d}_X^E Y &= [X, Y]_E, \\ \mathbf{d}_X^E \alpha &= (\iota_X \circ \mathbf{d}^E + \mathbf{d}^E \circ \iota_X)\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(E)$. The following properties generalize some well-known properties of the usual Lie derivative (see, e.g., [11, 14] for a proof).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^E \circ \mathbf{d}^E &= 0, \\ [\mathbf{d}^E, \mathbf{d}_X^E] &= 0, \\ \mathbf{d}_{[X, Y]_E}^E &= [\mathbf{d}_X^E, \mathbf{d}_Y^E], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{[X,Y]_E} &= [\mathbf{d}_X^E, \iota_Y], \\ \mathbf{d}_X^E(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= \mathbf{d}_X^E\alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \mathbf{d}_X^E\beta. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. The prolongation of a Lie algebroid

Let M' be a smooth manifold and let $f : M' \rightarrow M$ a fibration. For each point $x' \in M'$ let $\mathcal{T}_{x'}^E M'$ be the linear space

$$\mathcal{T}_{x'}^E M' = \{(a, v) \in E_x \times T_{x'} M' \mid \rho^E(a) = T_{x'} f(v)\},$$

where $f(x') = x$ and Tf denotes the tangent map to f , $Tf : TM' \rightarrow TM$. The set $\mathcal{T}^E M' = \bigcup_{x' \in M'} \mathcal{T}_{x'}^E M'$ is endowed with a natural vector bundle structure over M' ; the vector bundle projection $\tau_{M'}^E : \mathcal{T}^E M' \rightarrow M'$ is $\tau_{M'}^E(a, v) = \tau_{M'}(v)$. Note that in particular we have $\tau^E(a) = (f \circ \tau_{M'})(v)$, with $\tau_{M'} : TM' \rightarrow M'$ being the tangent bundle projection.

Moreover, such a vector bundle can be endowed with a Lie algebroid structure, the anchor map being the projection onto the second factor and the bracket on the linear space of sections is the only one that for two sections of the form $Y_i(x') = (x', \sigma_i(f(x')), U_i(x'))$, $i = 1, 2$, with $\sigma_i \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$, $U_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, satisfies

$$[[Y_1, Y_2]](x') = (x', [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]_E(f(x')), [U_1, U_2](x')).$$

This Lie algebroid is called the *prolongation of E along f* and more details about it can be found in [12, 16].

The interesting case for the Lagrangian formulation of mechanics on Lie algebroids is when $M' = E$ and $f = \tau^E$. In this case we will denote simply by $\mathcal{L}E$ the corresponding prolongation of E along τ^E and it is possible to show that it can also be realized as the total space of the pullback bundle $(\rho^E)^* T\tau^E$. This is the particular case studied in [11] (see, also [12]). Then $\mathcal{L}E$ has a Lie algebroid structure that we describe next:

- (1) $\mathcal{L}E$ is a vector bundle over E with projection $\tau^{\mathcal{L}E} : \mathcal{L}E \rightarrow E$ given by $\tau^{\mathcal{L}E}(a, v) = \tau_E(v)$.
- (2) It can be proved that every section $X \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ can be written as

$$X(a) = (f_X(a)X_1(\tau^E(a)), X_2(a)),$$

where $X_1 \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$, $X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ and $f_X \in C^\infty(E)$ satisfying the condition

$$T_a \tau^E(X_2(a)) = f_X(a)\rho^E(X_1(\tau^E(a))), \quad \forall a \in E.$$

- (3) The Lie bracket in $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$, denoted $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{L}E}$, is given by

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E} = (f_X f_Y([X_1, Y_1]_E \circ \tau^E) + (X_2 f_Y)(Y_1 \circ \tau^E) - (Y_2 f_X)(X_1 \circ \tau^E), [X_2, Y_2]).$$

- (4) The anchor $\rho^{\mathcal{L}E} : \mathcal{L}E \rightarrow TE$ is given by $\rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X) = X_2$.

2.3. Complete and vertical lifts

We start by defining lifts to E of functions in M . If $f \in C^\infty(M)$, its *complete and vertical lifts* are the functions $f^c, f^v \in C^\infty(E)$ defined as

$$f^c(a) = \rho^E(a)f, \quad f^v = f \circ \tau^E, \quad \forall a \in E.$$

Let X be a section of τ^E . We can also define its complete and vertical lifts $X^{\text{vert}}, X^{\text{comp}} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ as follows: first, let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$, then $\widehat{\alpha} \in C^\infty(E)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{\alpha}(a) = \langle \alpha(\tau^E(a)), a \rangle.$$

With this notation we have

(1) The vertical lift of X is given by

$$X^{\text{vert}}(a) = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(a + tX(\tau^E(a))) \right|_{t=0}.$$

(2) The complete lift of X is the unique τ^E -projectable vector field on E satisfying

$$\tau^E_*(X^{\text{comp}}) = \rho^E(X) \quad \text{and} \quad X^{\text{comp}}(\widehat{\alpha}) = \widehat{\mathbf{d}}_X^E \alpha.$$

Finally, we can also lift sections of $\tau^E : E \rightarrow M$ to sections of the prolongation $\tau^{\mathcal{L}E} : \mathcal{L}E \rightarrow E$. For any $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ the complete and vertical lifts, $X^c, X^v \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ are

$$X^v(a) = (0, X^{\text{vert}}(a)), \quad X^c(a) = (X(\tau^E(a)), X^{\text{comp}}(a)).$$

The set of complete and vertical lifts generates $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ as a $C^\infty(E)$ -module. Therefore, the Lie algebroid structure of $\mathcal{L}E$ is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} [X^c, Y^c]_{\mathcal{L}E} &= ([X, Y]_E)^c, & [X^c, Y^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} &= ([X, Y]_E)^v, & [X^v, Y^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} &= 0 \\ \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(f^c) &= (\rho^E(X)(f))^c, & \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(f^v) &= (\rho^E(X)(f))^v, & & \\ \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(f^c) &= (\rho^E(X)(f))^v, & \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(f^v) &= 0, & & \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

2.4. The Euler section and the vertical endomorphism

The Euler section is the section of $\tau^{\mathcal{L}E}$ defined by

$$\Delta(a) = (0, a_a^v), \quad \forall a \in E,$$

where 0 is the zero element of $\tau^{E-1}(\tau^E(a))$ and

$$a_a^v = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(a + ta) \right|_{t=0} \in T_a E.$$

The following property of such a section will be useful later on (see [11] for a proof).

Lemma 2.1. *Let be $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. Then*

$$[\Delta, X^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} = -X^v \quad \text{and} \quad [\Delta, X^c]_{\mathcal{L}E} = 0.$$

The vertical endomorphism is the vector bundle automorphism $S : \mathcal{L}E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}E$ such that for each section $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$,

$$S(X^v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S(X^c) = X^v. \tag{3}$$

Note that all the concepts so far introduced restrict in the case $E = TM$ to the usual constructions for the double tangent bundle TTM . In the same vein one can define a second-order differential equation (SODE) on E as a section $X \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ satisfying

$$S(X) = \Delta. \tag{4}$$

2.5. Lagrangian mechanics

With the ingredients previously introduced, we can formulate the problem of Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids. For that, we will follow closely [11, 12]. Let $L \in C^\infty(E)$ be a Lagrangian. The Poincaré 1- and 2-forms relative to L are defined by

$$\Theta_L = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L \circ S, \tag{5}$$

$$\omega_L = -\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L. \tag{6}$$

The energy function $E_L \in C^\infty(E)$ is

$$E_L = \rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(\Delta)(L) - L.$$

Then, the dynamics associated with $(E, M, \tau^E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho^E, L)$, or to L in short, are the projection to M by τ^E of the integral curves of the vector field $\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(Z_L) \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$, where $Z_L \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$ is a solution of the equation

$$\iota_{Z_L} \omega_L = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L. \tag{7}$$

We will refer to Z_L as the dynamics associated with L as well. The form ω_L is called non-degenerate if $\omega_L(X, Y) = 0$ for every $Y \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$ implies $X = 0$. In case ω_L is non-degenerate, L is called regular, and there is a unique solution Z_L to (7) which is also a SODE in the sense of (4). In this paper we will be concerned only with regular Lagrangians.

2.6. Local expressions

We provide now concrete local expressions for the objects defined so far. Suppose that $\dim M = m$, $\text{rank } E = p$ and let $U \subset E$ be a trivializing open neighborhood coordinatized by $\{x^i, y^\alpha\}$ where $\{x^i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are local coordinates on M and $\{y^\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$, are linear coordinates on the typical fiber of E relative to a local basis of $\Gamma(\tau^E)|_{\tau^E(U)}$ given by $\{e_\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$. The local structure of E is encoded in the structure functions $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma, \rho_\alpha^i \in C^\infty(\tau^E(U))$, defined by

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta]_E = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma, \quad \rho^E(e_\alpha) = \rho_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{8}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, p$.

It is shown in [12] that a local basis of $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})|_U$ is given by $\{\tilde{T}_\alpha, \tilde{V}_\beta\}$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, p$, where

$$\tilde{T}_\alpha(a) = \left(e_\alpha(\tau^E(a)), \rho_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_a \right), \quad \tilde{V}_\alpha(a) = \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \Big|_a \right), \quad a \in U. \tag{9}$$

We provide for later use the local expression of the two-form ω_L in this coordinates: with respect to the dual basis $\{\tilde{T}^\alpha, \tilde{V}^\beta\}$ we have that, on U ,

$$\omega_L = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \tilde{T}^\alpha \wedge \tilde{V}^\beta + \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\gamma} C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - \rho_\alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^i \partial y^\beta} \right) \tilde{T}^\alpha \wedge \tilde{T}^\beta. \tag{10}$$

Since we are assuming that L is non-degenerate, the matrix with elements $\left[\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \right]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in (1, \dots, p)$, is invertible, and there is a unique solution Z_L for (7) with associated dynamics characterized by

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \rho_\alpha^i y^\alpha, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\alpha} \right) = \rho_\alpha^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} - C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma y^\beta \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\gamma}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{11}$$

3. Gauge equivalent Lagrangians

In this section we introduce different notions of equivalence among Lagrangians defined on E .

3.1. Basic forms

In order to build different notions of equivalence of Lagrangians, we will need the concept of basic and semi-basic 1-forms, as well as several of their properties.

Definition 3.1. A 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}E)$ is called *semi-basic* if $\theta(X^v) = 0$ for every $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. A 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}E)$ is called *basic* if it is semi-basic and in addition there is a unique form $\theta' \in \Omega^1(E)$ such that $\theta(X^c) = \theta'(X) \circ \tau^E$ for every $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. This defines a linear bijection γ between $\Omega^1(E)$ and $\Omega_{\text{bas}}^1(\mathcal{L}E)$, the space of basic 1-forms on $\mathcal{L}E$, by $\gamma^{-1}(\theta) = \theta'$.

The following two propositions collect some important properties of basic and semi-basic forms.

Proposition 3.1. A closed semi-basic 1-form $\beta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}E)$ is basic. In that case $\beta = \gamma(\beta')$ with $\mathbf{d}^E \beta' = 0$.

Proof. Let be $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$. Since β is closed,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta(X^c, Y^v) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(\beta(Y^v)) - \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^v)(\beta(X^c)) - \beta([X^c, Y^v]_{\mathcal{L}E}) \\ &= -Y^{\text{vert}}(\beta(X^c)), \end{aligned}$$

since β annihilates vertical sections and $[X^c, Y^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} = ([X, Y]_E)^v$. The above result implies that $\beta(X^c) = \tau^{E*} f$ for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and therefore there exists $\beta' \in \Omega^1(E)$ such that $\gamma(\beta') = \beta$. That β' is closed follows again from the closeness of β , since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta(X^c, Y^c) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(\beta(Y^c)) - \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^c)(\beta(X^c)) - \beta([X^c, Y^c]_{\mathcal{L}E}) \\ &= \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(\beta'(Y) \circ \tau^E) - \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^c)(\beta'(X) \circ \tau^E) - \beta'([X, Y]_E)^c \\ &= \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(\beta'(Y) \circ \tau^E) - \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^c)(\beta'(X) \circ \tau^E) - \beta'([X, Y]_E) \circ \tau^E \\ &= \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^c)(\beta'(Y))^v - \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^c)(\beta'(X))^v - (\beta'([X, Y]_E))^v \\ &= (\rho^E(X)(\beta'(Y)))^v - (\rho^E(Y)(\beta'(X)))^v - (\beta'([X, Y]_E))^v \\ &= (\rho^E(X)(\beta'(Y)) - \rho^E(Y)(\beta'(X)) - \beta'([X, Y]_E))^v \\ &= (\rho^E(X)(\beta'(Y)) - \rho^E(Y)(\beta'(X)) - \beta'([X, Y]_E)) \circ \tau^E \\ &= (\mathbf{d}^E \beta'(X, Y)) \circ \tau^E, \end{aligned}$$

and hence from the surjectivity of τ^E , this is equivalent to $\mathbf{d}^E \beta' = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.2. A 1-form $\beta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}E)$ is basic if and only if is semi-basic and $\mathbf{d}_{X^v}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta = 0$ for every $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$.

Proof. Let be $Y \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ and suppose that β is basic. Then obviously

$$(\mathbf{d}_{X^v}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta)(Y^v) = 0.$$

Now $(\mathbf{d}_{X^v}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta)(Y^c) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(\beta(Y^c))$. Since by hypothesis $\beta(Y^c) = (\beta'(Y)) \circ \tau^E = (\beta'(Y))^v$, then

$$\rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(\beta(Y^c)) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(\beta'(Y))^v = 0,$$

and therefore $\mathbf{d}_{X^v}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta = 0$.

Suppose now that β is semi-basic, and that $(\mathbf{d}_{X^v}^{\mathcal{L}E} \beta)(Y^c) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(\beta(Y^c)) = 0$, therefore $\beta(X^c)$ is the pullback by τ^E of a function in M and then β is basic. \square

3.2. Equivalence of Lagrangians

One can define different notions of equivalence classes of Lagrangian functions on Lie algebroids as the sets of Lagrangians that produce the same Poincaré 2-section or the same dynamical section Z_L . The stronger notion of gauge equivalence will be related in the following section to the existence of conserved quantities for the associated Lagrangian dynamics.

Definition 3.2. Let $L, L' \in C^\infty(E)$ be two (regular) Lagrangians. We will say that L is geometrically equivalent to L' if $\omega_L = \omega_{L'}$. We will say that L is equivalent to L' if $Z_L = Z_{L'}$.

Let us note that since $\omega_{L_1+L_2} = \omega_{L_1} + \omega_{L_2}$, we have that L and L' are geometrically equivalent if and only if $L' = L + L_0$ with $\omega_{L_0} = 0$, and hence L_0 must be singular. The next result characterizes this class of singular Lagrangians with trivial Poincaré 2-forms.

Theorem 3.1. A Lagrangian $L_0 \in C^\infty(E)$ satisfies $\omega_{L_0} = 0$ if and only if

$$L_0 = \widehat{\alpha} + V \circ \tau^E,$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ is closed and $V \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. First note that for any $X \in \Gamma(E)$ we have $\Theta_{V \circ \tau^E}(X^v) = 0$ since vertical sections are in the kernel of the vertical endomorphism S . Also,

$$\Theta_{V \circ \tau^E}(X^c) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(S(X^c))(V \circ \tau^E) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)(V \circ \tau^E) = 0.$$

Then $\Theta_{V \circ \tau^E} = 0$ and so $\omega_{V \circ \tau^E} = 0$.

For the contribution of α we have $\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^v) = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\widehat{\alpha}(S(X^v)) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^c)(a) &= \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\widehat{\alpha}(S(X^c))(a) = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\widehat{\alpha}(X^v) = X^{\text{vert}}(\widehat{\alpha})(a) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt}(\widehat{\alpha}(a + tX(\tau^E(a)))) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(\alpha(\tau^E(a)), a + tX(\tau^E(a))) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \alpha(X)(\tau^E(a)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^c) = \alpha(X) \circ \tau^E$. It is now straightforward to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^v, Y^v) &= 0, \\ \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^c, Y^v) &= -\rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Y^v)(\alpha(X) \circ \tau^E) = 0, \\ \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}}(X^c, Y^c) &= \mathbf{d}^E\alpha(X, Y) \circ \tau^E = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term vanishes since α is closed. Therefore $\omega_{\widehat{\alpha}} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}\Theta_{\widehat{\alpha}} = 0$.

Conversely, since by its very definition (5) we have that Θ_{L_0} is semi-basic, if ω_{L_0} vanishes then by proposition 3.1 Θ_{L_0} is basic and $\Theta_{L_0}(X^c) = \alpha(X) \circ \tau^E$ for some closed 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$. This is the same as

$$\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}L_0(S(X^c)) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(X^v)L_0 = \alpha(X) \circ \tau^E,$$

which implies that $L_0 = \widehat{\alpha} + V \circ \tau^E$, for any $V \in C^\infty(M)$. □

Definition 3.3. We say that two regular Lagrangians $L, L' \in C^\infty(E)$ are gauge equivalent if there exist $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ and $V \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\mathbf{d}^E\alpha = 0$, $\mathbf{d}^E V = 0$ and $L' = L + \widehat{\alpha} + V \circ \tau^E$.

Obviously if L and L' are gauge equivalent, then they are geometrically equivalent. Note that the condition $\mathbf{d}^E V = 0$ does not necessarily implies that V is locally constant, since it suffices that $\mathbf{d}V$ annihilates the image of the anchor ρ^E . The next theorem gives the relationship between the three different notions of equivalence of Lagrangians. We start with a necessary technical lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ and Δ be the Liouville section. Then $\mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \widehat{\alpha} = \widehat{\alpha}$.*

Proof. Let $a \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \widehat{\alpha}(a) &= \rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(\Delta) \widehat{\alpha}(a) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{\alpha}(a + ta) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \langle \alpha(\tau^E(a)), a + ta \rangle \right|_{t=0} = \langle \alpha(\tau^E(a)), a \rangle = \widehat{\alpha}(a). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.2. *Two (regular) Lagrangians L and L' are gauge equivalent if and only if they are equivalent and geometrically equivalent.*

Proof. If L and L' are gauge equivalent, then $L' = L + \widehat{\alpha} + V \circ \tau^E$ with α and V closed by \mathbf{d}^E . Then $\omega_L = \omega_{L'}$. Note also that since $\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(\Delta)$ is a vector field tangent to the τ^E -fibers of E , then $\mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E}(V \circ \tau^E) = 0$. Then, for the energies of both Lagrangians,

$$E_{L'} = \mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L' - L' = \mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L + \mathbf{d}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \widehat{\alpha} - L - \widehat{\alpha} - V \circ \tau^E = E_L - V \circ \tau^E,$$

where we used lemma 3.1. Now, since by hypothesis V is closed, $\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E}(V \circ \tau^E) = 0$ and then $\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_{L'}$, which implies $Z_{L'} = Z_L$.

Conversely, if L and L' are geometrically equivalent, then $L' = L + \widehat{\alpha} + V \circ \tau^E$ with $\mathbf{d}^E \alpha = 0$. Then, proceeding as before, $E_{L'} = E_L - V \circ \tau^E$. Since $\omega_L = \omega_{L'}$ and both Lagrangians are regular, the equivalence of L and L' forces $\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_{L'} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L$, implying $\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E}(V \circ \tau^E) = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathbf{d}^E V = 0$. \square

4. Gauge equivalence and Nöther's theorem

In this section we will study sections in $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$ that generate one-parameter families of gauge equivalent Lagrangian functions. In theorem 4.2 we will show that one can associate a Nöther conserved quantity of the dynamics to each such family.

4.1. Admissible sections

We will start by studying admissible sections, that is, those sections in $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$ preserving the different objects of the dynamical equation (7).

Definition 4.1. *Let $X \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$. We say that X is an admissible section for ω_L (respectively for Θ_L, E_L) if $\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} \omega_L = \omega_{\mathbf{a}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} L}$ for all $L \in C^\infty(E)$ (respectively $\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L = \Theta_{\mathbf{a}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} L}, \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = E_{\mathbf{a}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} L}$).*

We start by studying the transformation of the elements in (7) under the action of arbitrary sections in $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}^E})$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L)(Y) &= \Theta_{\mathbf{a}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} L}(Y) + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L([X, S(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}^E} - S([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}^E})) \\ \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} \omega_L &= -\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L \\ \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L &= E_{\mathbf{a}_X^{\mathcal{L}^E} L} + \mathbf{d}_{[X, \Delta]_{\mathcal{L}^E}}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first property,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} \Theta_L)(Y) &= \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E}(\Theta_L(Y)) - \Theta_L([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E}) \\ &= \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E}(\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L(S(Y)) - \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L(S([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E}))) \\ &= (\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L)(S(Y)) + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L(\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} S(Y)) - \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L(S([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E})) \\ &= (\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L)(S(Y)) + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L([X, S(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}E} - S([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E})) \\ &= \Theta_{\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L}(Y) + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L([X, S(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}E} - S([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E})). \end{aligned}$$

The second property is immediate since $\omega_L = -\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} \Theta_L$ and $[\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E}, \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E}] = 0$. For the last one,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} E_L &= \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} \mathbf{d}_\Delta^{\mathcal{L}E} L - \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L \\ &= \mathbf{d}_\Delta^{\mathcal{L}E} \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L - \mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L + \mathbf{d}_{[X, \Delta]}^{\mathcal{L}E} L \\ &= E_{\mathbf{d}_X^{\mathcal{L}E} L} + \mathbf{d}_{[X, \Delta]}^{\mathcal{L}E} L. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We can see that not every section is admissible in any of the three senses due to the presence of additional terms, so one must impose restrictions on them. An important class of admissible sections is given by complete lifts, as the next result shows.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ its complete lift X^c is an admissible section for ω_L, Θ_L and E_L .*

Proof. Since complete and vertical lifts generate $\Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$, in the hypothesis of lemma 4.1 we can make $Y = a^c + b^v$ with $a, b \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. Then, using the standard properties of lifts of sections and of the vertical endomorphism,

$$[X^c, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E} = [X^c, a^c + b^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} = ([X, a]_E)^c + ([X, b]_E)^v,$$

and therefore $S([X^c, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E}) = ([X, a]_{\mathcal{L}E})^v$. Now, since $S(Y) = a^v$ we have that $[X^c, S(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}E} = [X^c, a^v]_{\mathcal{L}E} = ([X, a]_E)^v$, and hence

$$S([X^c, Y]_{\mathcal{L}E}) = [X^c, S(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}E},$$

which proves that X^c is an admissible section for ω_L and θ_L . Finally, that X^c is an admissible section for E_L follows from $[X^c, \Delta]_{\mathcal{L}E} = 0$ (see, lemma 2.1). \square

In view of proposition 4.1 we hereafter restrict ourselves to those admissible sections which are complete lifts of sections of τ^E . We are specially interested in sections which are symmetries of the dynamics, i.e. such that $[X^c, Z_L]_{\mathcal{L}E} = 0$. We start by identifying a necessary condition for such a section.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$ satisfy $[X^c, Z_L]_{\mathcal{L}E} = 0$. Then there is a Lagrangian L' defined by $L' = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}E} L$ such that*

$$\iota_{Z_L} \omega_{L'} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} E_{L'}.$$

If L' is regular, then L is equivalent to L' , i.e. $Z_L = Z_{L'}$.

Proof. First note that, for any section $Y \in \Gamma(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ we have, applying $\mathbf{d}_Y^{\mathcal{L}E}$ to (7),

$$(\mathbf{d}_Y^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega_L)(Z_L) + \omega_L(\mathbf{d}_Y^{\mathcal{L}E} Z_L) = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} \mathbf{d}_Y^{\mathcal{L}E} E_L.$$

The previous equation reduces, when using $Y = X^c$, proposition 4.1 and the fact that X^c is a symmetry of Z_L , to

$$\iota_{Z_L} \omega_{\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}E} L} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} E_{\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}E} L}.$$

Calling $L' = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}E} L$ and assuming that L' is regular, this implies $Z_{L'} = Z_L$. \square

4.2. One-parameter families of gauge equivalent Lagrangians

We now study how imposing a condition on a complete lift of a section (which is an admissible section by proposition 4.1) we can generate a one-parameter family of Lagrangians which are gauge equivalent to a given one.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $L \in C^\infty(E)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. Assume that $\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L = \widehat{\beta} + W \circ \tau^E$ with $\mathbf{d}^E \beta = 0$ and $\mathbf{d}^E W = 0$. Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the flow of $\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)$, $\phi_{\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)}^t$, is defined, the Lagrangian $L_t = L \circ \phi_{\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)}^t$ is gauge equivalent to L .*

Proof. By the formula for the relationship between a vector field and its flow, we have

$$(\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)L) \circ \phi_{\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)}^t = \frac{d}{dt}(L \circ \phi_{\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)}^t).$$

Using $\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c)L = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L = \widehat{\beta} + W \circ \tau^E$, $L_t = L \circ \phi_{X^c}^t$ and $\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E}(X^c) = X^{\text{comp}}$ this is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_t = \widehat{\beta}(\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^t) + W(\tau^E(\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^t)), \tag{12}$$

with the initial condition $L_0 = L$. Using the fact that the flows of X^{comp} and $\rho^E(X)$ are τ^E -related, we have that (12) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt}L_t(a) = \langle \beta(\phi_{\rho^E(X)}^t(x)), (\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^t(a)) \rangle + W(\phi_{\rho^E(X)}^t(x)), \quad \forall x \in M, \quad a \in \tau^{E^{-1}}(x).$$

Note that for fixed t the flow $\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^t$ is an automorphism of E covering $\phi_{\rho^E(X)}^t$, and thus $[\mathbf{d}^E, \phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^{t*}] = 0$ for all t , where $\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^{t*}\beta$ is defined by $\langle \phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^{t*}\beta, a \rangle = \langle \beta(\phi_{\rho^E(X)}^t(x)), (\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^t(a)) \rangle$, with $\beta \in \Omega^1(E)$. Therefore solving (12) with the initial condition $L_0 = L$ we obtain

$$L_t(a) = L(a) + \widehat{\beta}(a) + \overline{W} \circ \tau^E,$$

where $\langle \overline{\beta}, a \rangle = \int_0^t \langle \phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^{t'*}\beta, a \rangle dt'$ and $\overline{W}(a) = \int_0^t (\phi_{\rho^E(X)}^{t'*} W)(x) dt'$. Now, since \mathbf{d}^E commutes with $\phi_{X^{\text{comp}}}^{t*}$ we have $\mathbf{d}^E \overline{\beta} = 0$ and $\mathbf{d}^E \overline{W} = 0$, from the closeness of β and W . \square

4.3. Nöther's theorem

We now prove a Nöther theorem for Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids. If the complete lift of a section in $\Gamma(\tau^E)$ generates a one-parameter family of gauge equivalent Lagrangians with the particular data $\beta = d^E h$, $W = 0$ using the notation of theorem 4.1, then we can associate with this family a conserved quantity for the dynamics.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X \in \Gamma(\tau^E)$. If there exists $h \in C^\infty(M)$ such that*

$$\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L = \widehat{\mathbf{d}^E h},$$

then for any $K \in C^\infty(E)$ with $\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} K = 0$, the function

$$f = \iota_{X^c} \Theta_L - h \circ \tau^E + K$$

is a conserved quantity for the dynamics associated with L .

Proof. First, note that since X^c is a complete lift, then

$$\iota_{X^c} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = E_{\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L} = E_{\widehat{\mathbf{d}^E h}} = \mathbf{d}_\Delta^{\mathcal{L}^E}(\widehat{\mathbf{d}^E h}) - \widehat{\mathbf{d}^E h} = 0,$$

where the last step follows from lemma 3.1.

Also, we have for the Poincaré 1-form

$$\mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L = \Theta_{\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} L} = \Theta_{\widehat{\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} h}} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} (h \circ \tau^E),$$

where the last step follows from the properties in (2).

It follows, using definition (7) of the section Z_L , that

$$\iota_{X^c} \iota_{Z_L} \omega_L = \iota_{X^c} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} E_L = 0. \tag{13}$$

Now, putting $f = \iota_{X^c} \Theta_L - h \circ \tau^E + K$, as in the statement, we have

$$\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E} (Z_L) f = \iota_{Z_L} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} f = \iota_{Z_L} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} (\iota_{X^c} \Theta_L - h \circ \tau^E + K). \tag{14}$$

Using (1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} (h \circ \tau^E) &= \Theta_{\widehat{\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} h}} = \mathbf{d}_{X^c}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L = \iota_{X^c} \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \Theta_L + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \iota_{X^c} \Theta_L \\ &= -\iota_{X^c} \omega_L + \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} \iota_{X^c} \Theta_L. \end{aligned}$$

From this last expression,

$$\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}^E} (\iota_{X^c} \Theta_L - h \circ \tau^E + K) = \iota_{X^c} \omega_L.$$

Therefore, (14) is equivalent to

$$\rho^{\mathcal{L}^E} (Z_L) f = \iota_{Z_L} \iota_{X^c} \omega_L = 0,$$

where we have used (13). This proves that f is constant along the dynamics generated by L . \square

5. An example. The rigid body

We will illustrate the applicability of theorem 4.2 with an example from classical mechanics: the rigid body with an axis of symmetry. For that, let E be the trivial Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ having as base space a point. Then, it is immediate to compute from the definitions that

- $\rho^E = 0$ and $\tau^E = 0$,
- $\mathcal{L}E = \{(a, v_b) \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}, v_b \in T\mathfrak{g}\} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$,
- $\rho^{\mathcal{L}E} (a, v_b) = v_b$ and
- $\tau^{\mathcal{L}E} (a, v_b) = b$.

Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. In its associated linear coordinates, an element $y \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ is expressed as $y = (y^1, y^2, y^3)$. We will consider the purely kinetic Lagrangian function

$$L(y) = \frac{1}{2} (I_1 (y^1)^2 + I_2 (y^2)^2 + I_3 (y^3)^2),$$

corresponding to the quadratic form \mathbb{I} on $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, given in this basis by the expression

$$\mathbb{I} = \text{diag}(I_1, I_2, I_3).$$

The equations of motion for this Lagrangian system are easily obtained from (11), making $\rho_\alpha^i = 0$ and $C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = \epsilon_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, the structure constants for $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. They are

$$I_1 \dot{y}^1 = (I_2 - I_3) y^2 y^3, \quad I_2 \dot{y}^2 = (I_3 - I_1) y^1 y^3, \quad I_3 \dot{y}^3 = (I_1 - I_2) y^1 y^2,$$

where I_1, I_2, I_3 are constants.

These are, of course, Euler's equations for a rigid body in \mathbb{R}^3 having \mathbb{I} as its inertia tensor. Suppose now that the body has an axis of symmetry. For instance, this happens if $I_2 = I_3$. It follows from the previous equations that then $I_1 \dot{y}^1 = 0$, which implies that $I_1 y^1$ is conserved along the dynamics, since I_1 is constant. We will now show how to obtain this conservation law from theorem 4.2.

Since the base M of E is trivial, the basis element $\xi_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ can be regarded as a section $\xi_1 \in \Gamma(E)$. Then we have

$$\xi_1^c(y) = \left(\xi_1, y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_1^v(y) = \left(0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \right).$$

In order to check if ξ_1 generates a symmetry of the Lagrangian, leading to a Nöther conserved quantity we compute

$$\rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(\xi_1^c)L = \left(y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3} \right) L = y^2 y^3 (I_2 - I_3) = 0,$$

which is of the form $\rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(\xi_1^c)L = \widehat{\mathbf{d}^E h}$ with $h = 0$. Then from theorem 4.2 the function $f = \iota_{\xi_1^c} \Theta_L - h \circ \tau^E = \iota_{\xi_1^c} \Theta_L$ is a conserved quantity. The explicit form of f is

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (\mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L \circ S)(\xi_1^c) = \mathbf{d}^{\mathcal{L}E} L(\xi_1^v) \\ &= \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(\xi_1^v)L = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} L \\ &= I_1 y^1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $I_1 y^1$ is a Nöther conserved quantity.

6. Non-Nöther conserved quantities

In a more general setup, we may have a Lagrangian L such that its dynamics Z_L is locally Hamiltonian for a different 2-form $\omega' \in \Omega^2(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$, which may be degenerate. That is, $\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega' = 0$. In this case Nöther's theorem is not applicable since there is no one-parameter family of gauge equivalent Lagrangians. However in this situation we can still obtain a family of conserved quantities by taking advantage of the fact that Z_L is a symmetry of both ω_L and ω' .

Theorem 6.1. *Let L be a regular Lagrangian and $\omega' \in \Omega^2(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ such that*

$$\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega' = 0.$$

Then the coefficients of the polynomial $f(\lambda)$ in one real variable defined by

$$(\omega' - \lambda \omega_L)^{\wedge \text{rank} E} = f(\lambda) \omega_L^{\wedge \text{rank} E} \tag{15}$$

are conserved quantities for Z_L .

Proof. Since $(\omega' - \lambda \omega_L) \in \Omega^2(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$, then its power of degree equal to $\text{rank } E$ belongs to the top cohomology class of $\Omega^\bullet(\tau^{\mathcal{L}E})$ and hence it must be proportional to the orientation form $\omega_L^{\wedge \text{rank} E}$ (since L is regular) by a polynomial in λ with coefficients in $C^\infty(E)$ which may have zeros. Therefore (15) is well defined. Now, using the two compatibility conditions $\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega' = 0$ and $\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega_L = 0$ and the usual properties of the Lie derivative with respect to the wedge product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} (\omega' - \lambda \omega_L)^{\wedge \text{rank} E} = (\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} f(\lambda)) \omega_L^{\wedge \text{rank} E} + f(\lambda) \mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} \omega_L^{\wedge \text{rank} E} \\ &= (\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} f(\lambda)) \omega_L^{\wedge \text{rank} E}, \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows by the non-degeneracy of L that $\mathbf{d}_{Z_L}^{\mathcal{L}E} f(\lambda) = \rho^{\mathcal{L}E}(Z_L) f(\lambda) = 0$. \square

We will now focus in the particular case when there is a function L' equivalent to L in the sense of definition 3.2, since in this case $\omega_{L'}$ satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 6.1. Note that

by definition (6) of ω_L , we have $\omega_{L'} - \lambda\omega_L = \omega_{L'-\lambda L}$. If $p = \text{rank } E$, we have from (10) the local expression

$$\omega_{L'}^{\wedge p} = p! \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 L'}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \right) \tilde{T}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{T}^p \wedge \tilde{V}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{V}^p.$$

Consequently for (15) we can write

$$(\omega_{L'} - \lambda\omega_L)^{\wedge p} = p! \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 L'}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \right) \tilde{T}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{T}^p \wedge \tilde{V}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{V}^p,$$

and so, in this trivialization

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\det(A' - \lambda A)}{\det A} = \det(A' A^{-1} - \lambda I),$$

where

$$A' = \left[\frac{\partial^2 L'}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \right]_{\alpha, \beta \in (1, \dots, \text{rank } E)} \quad A = \left[\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \right]_{\alpha, \beta \in (1, \dots, \text{rank } E)} \quad (16)$$

are locally defined matrices. We have then proved the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *Let L and L' be dynamically equivalent Lagrangians. Then, the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $A' A^{-1}$, with A and A' as in (16), are locally defined conserved quantities for the dynamics associated with L .*

This result is an extension to the framework of Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids of the results by Hojman and Harleston [17] as explained in [15]. In fact, we can make use of the Le Verrier method of determining the characteristic equation of a matrix (see, e.g., [18]): the coefficients of the characteristic equation of a matrix M are determined by the traces of the increasing powers M^k by means of Newton's equations, therefore the mentioned traces are also constants of the motion.

Acknowledgments

The research of JFC was partially supported by research projects MTM2006-10531 and E24/1 (DGA). The research of MR-O was partially supported by the research project MTM2006-03322 and a European Marie Curie Fellowship (IEF). MR-O also wishes to thank the Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Zaragoza for their hospitality during a research visit in February 2007. Finally, we would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions on the paper.

References

- [1] Higgins P J and Mackenzie K 1990 Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids *J. Algebra* **129** 194–230
- [2] Mackenzie K 2005 *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series vol 213)* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Cannas da Silva A and Weinstein A 1999 *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras (Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes vol 10)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [4] Weinstein A 1996 Lagrangian mechanics and groupoids *Mechanics Day (Waterloo, ON, 1992)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) pp 207–31 *Fields Inst. Commun.* **7**
- [5] Cortés J and Martínez E 2004 Mechanical control systems on Lie algebroids *IMA J. Math. Control Inform.* **21** 457–92
- [6] Cariñena J F, Nunes da Costa J M and Santos P 2005 Reduction of Lie algebroids structures *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **2** 965–91

- [7] Cariñena J F, Nunes da Costa J M and Santos P 2007 Reduction of Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** 977–90
- [8] Grabowski J and Grabowska K 2008 Variational calculus with constraints on general algebroids *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 175204
- [9] Cariñena J F, Nunes da Costa J M and Santos P 2007 Quasi-coordinates from the point of view of Lie algebroid structure *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 10031–48
- [10] Cortés J, de León M, Marrero J C and Martínez E 2005 Nonholonomic Lagrangian systems on Lie algebroids *Discrete Continuous Dynamical Syst. A* **24** 213–71
- [11] Martínez E 2001 Lagrangian mechanics on Lie algebroids *Acta Appl. Math.* **67** 295–320
- [12] León M de, Marrero J C and Martínez E 2005 Lagrangian submanifolds and dynamics on Lie algebroids *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** R241–308 (topical review)
- [13] Grabowski J, Grabowska K and Urbański P 2006 Geometrical mechanics on algebroids *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **3** 559–75
- [14] Cariñena J F and Martínez E 2001 Lie algebroid generalization of geometric mechanics *Proc. Workshop on Lie Algebroids and Related Topics in Differential Geometry (Warsaw)* vol 54 (Warsaw: Banach Center Publications) pp 201–15
- [15] Cariñena J F and Ibort L A 1985 Geometric theory of the equivalence of Lagrangians for constrained systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18** 3335–41
- [16] Martínez E, Mestdag T and Sarlet W 2002 Lie algebroid structures and Lagrangian systems on affine bundles *J. Geom. Phys.* **44** 70–95
- [17] Hojman S and Harleston H 1981 Equivalent Lagrangians: multidimensional case *J. Math. Phys.* **22** 1414–9
- [18] Wilkinson J H 1965 *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)